arXiv:0810.0556v2 [nlin.SI] 6 May 2009

Generalized dKP: Manakov-Santini hierarchy and its waterbag reduction

L.V. Bogdanov[*], Jen-Hsu Chang[‡ †] and Yu-Tung Chen[†]

May 6, 2009

Abstract

We study Manakov-Santini equation, starting from Lax-Sato form of associated hierarchy. The waterbag reduction for Manakov-Santini hierarchy is introduced. Equations of reduced hierarchy are derived. We construct new coordinates transforming non-hydrodynamic evolution of waterbag reduction to non-homogeneous Riemann invariants form of hydrodynamic type.

Keywords: Manakov-Santini hierarchy, Lax representation, Waterbag reduction, Non-homogeneous systems of hydrodynamic type, Riemann invariants
PACS: 02.30.Ik

1 Introduction

In this paper we study an integrable system introduced recently by Manakov and Santini [1] (see also [2, 3]). This system is connected with commutation of general 2-dimensional vector fields (containing derivative on spectral variable). Reduction to Hamiltonian vector fields leads to the well-known dispersionless KP (or Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya) equation. Alternatively, a natural reduction to 1-dimensional vector fields reduces Manakov-Santini system to the equation introduced by Pavlov [4] (see also [5, 6, 7]). Using general construction of the works [8, 11], we introduce the hierarchy for Manakov-Santini system in Lax-Sato form and generating equation for it (the hierarchy in terms of recursion operator was introduced in [2]). We introduce waterbag ansatz for Manakov-Santini hierarchy and derive equations of the reduced hierarchy. Using rational form of the G function (see below), one can introduce new coordinates such that the non-hydrodynamic evolution of waterbag reduction

[*]L.D. Landau ITP, Kosygin str. 2, Moscow 119334, Russia
[†]Department of Computer Science, National Defense University, Taoyuan, Taiwan, E-mail: jhchang@ndu.edu.tw, ‡ corresponding author.

transforms to non-homogeneous Riemann invariants form of hydro-dynamic type.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, GdKP hierarchy is described, connection to Manakov-Santini system is demonstrated. In section 3, waterbag reduction for Manakov-Santini hierarchy is introduced, equations of reduced hierarchy are derived (in non-hydrodynamic form). In section 4, we introduce new coordinates transforming the evolution of waterbag reduction to non-homogeneous Riemann invariants form of hydro-dynamic type. The examples are given. Section 5 is devoted to the concluding remarks.

2 Generalized dKP hierarchy

To introduce generalized dKP (Manakov-Santini) hierarchy, we use general construction of the works [8, 11]. The hierarchy is described by the Lax-Sato equations

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t_n} = A_n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - B_n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p}, \qquad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{M} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

or, equivalently, by the generating equation

$$(J_0^{-1} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathcal{M})_- = 0, \tag{2}$$

where $A_n \equiv (J_0^{-1} \partial \mathcal{L}^n / \partial p)_+$, $B_n \equiv (J_0^{-1} \partial \mathcal{L}^n / \partial x)_+$ with the Lax and Orlov operators $\mathcal{L}(p), \mathcal{M}(p)$ being the Laurent series

$$\mathcal{L} = p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(x) p^{-n}, \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n t_n \mathcal{L}^{n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n(x) \mathcal{L}^{-n}. \tag{4}$$

Here $(\cdots)_+$ ($(\cdots)_-$) denote respectively the projection on the polynomial part (negative powers), and J_0 is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p}\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x}\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial p} \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p}\left(\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \mathcal{L}}\right|_{t_n, v_n \text{ fixed}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} + \left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial x}\right|_{\mathcal{L} \text{ fixed}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} \left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \mathcal{L}}\right|_{t_n, v_n \text{ fixed}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} \\ &= \left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p}\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial x}\right|_{\mathcal{L} \text{ fixed}} = 1 + v_{1x} p^{-1} + (v_{2x} - u_1) p^{-2} + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

We list some of A_n and B_n as follows

$$A_1 = 1,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= 2p - 2v_{1x}, \\
A_3 &= 3p^2 - 3v_{1x}p + 6u_1 + 3(v_{1x})^2 - 3v_{2x}, \\
A_4 &= 4p^3 - 4v_{1x}p^2 + (12u_1 + 4(v_{1x})^2 - 4v_{2x})p \\
&\quad +12u_2 - 4v_{3x} + 8v_{1x}v_{2x} - 4(v_{1x})^3 - 8u_1v_{1x},
\end{aligned} \tag{5}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= 0, \\
B_2 &= 2u_{1x}, \\
B_3 &= 3u_{1x}p - 3u_{1x}v_{1x} + 3u_{2x}, \\
B_4 &= 4u_{1x}p^2 + (4u_{2x} - 4u_{1x}v_{1x})p \\
&\quad +4u_{1x}(4u_1 + (v_{1x})^2 - v_{2x}) - 4u_{2x}v_{1x} + 4u_{3x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}
$$

The t_1 flow of the generalized dKP hierarchy (1) says that the dependence on t_1 and x appear in the linear combination $t_1 + x$.

Proposition 2.1 *The compatibility of the commuting flow $[\partial_{t_m}, \partial_{t_n}]\psi = 0$ requires A_n, B_n to satisfy*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_m}A_n - \partial_{t_n}A_m &= \langle A_m, A_n\rangle_x + B_nA_{mp} - B_mA_{np}, \\
\partial_{t_m}B_n - \partial_{t_n}B_m &= \langle B_n, B_m\rangle_p + A_mB_{nx} - A_nB_{mx},
\end{aligned} \tag{7}
$$

where $\langle U, V\rangle_i := U(\partial_i V) - (\partial_i U)V$.

Proof. Substituting (1) into $\partial_{t_m}\partial_{t_n}\psi = \partial_{t_n}\partial_{t_m}\psi$, and comparing the coefficients of independent variables ψ_x and ψ_p respectively to the both sides, we obtain (7). □

The evolution of $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}$ with respect to $t_2 = y$ in (1) are given by

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y} = (p - v_{1x})\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} - u_{1x}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p}, \tag{8}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial y} = (p - v_{1x})\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial x} - u_{1x}\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial p}. \tag{9}
$$

Using the convention $(\sum_n a_n p^n)_{[s]} = a_s$ for a formal Laurent series, then from Eq.(8) we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}u_{1y} = \Big((p - v_{1x})\mathcal{L}_x - u_{1x}\mathcal{L}_p\Big)_{[-1]} = u_{2x} - v_{1x}u_{1x}, \tag{10}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}u_{2y} = \Big((p - v_{1x})\mathcal{L}_x - u_{1x}\mathcal{L}_p\Big)_{[-2]} = u_{3x} - v_{1x}u_{2x} + u_1u_{1x}, \tag{11}
$$

On the other hand, the expression of Eq.(9) together with (8) gives

$$
\mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{ny}\mathcal{L}^{-n} = (p - v_{1x})\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{nx}\mathcal{L}^{-n}\right).
$$

Comparing the coefficients of powers p^{-1} and p^{-2} to the above, we have

$$v_{2x} = u_1 + v_{1x}^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_{1y}, \tag{12}$$

$$v_{3x} = u_2 + \frac{1}{2}v_{2y} + u_1 v_{1x} + v_{1x}v_{2x}. \tag{13}$$

Similarly, the evolution of $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}$ w.r.t. $t_3 = t$ are given by

$$\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t} = \left(p^2 - v_{1x}p + u_1 - \frac{1}{2}v_{1y}\right)\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} - \left(u_{1x}p + \frac{1}{2}u_{1y}\right)\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p}, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial t} = \left(p^2 - v_{1x}p + u_1 - \frac{1}{2}v_{1y}\right)\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial x} - \left(u_{1x}p + \frac{1}{2}u_{1y}\right)\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial p}, \tag{15}$$

Then the t-flow of u_1 can be read by Eq.(14) by taking the coefficient of p^{-1}:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{3}u_{1t} &= u_{3x} - v_{1x}u_{2x} + (u_1 - \frac{1}{2}v_{1y})u_{1x} + u_1 u_{1x}, \\ &= \frac{1}{2}u_{2y} - \frac{1}{2}u_{1x}v_{1y} + u_1 u_{1x},\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we have used (11) to reach the second line. Also, the expression of Eq.(15) together with (14) gives

$$\mathcal{L}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{nt}\mathcal{L}^{-n} = \left(p^2 - v_{1x}p + u_1 - \frac{1}{2}v_{1y}\right)\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{nx}\mathcal{L}^{-n}\right),$$

in which the coefficient of p^{-1} gives

$$\frac{1}{3}v_{1t} = -u_2 + \frac{1}{2}v_{2y} + u_1 v_{1x} - \frac{1}{2}v_{1x}v_{1y}, \tag{17}$$

where we have used Eq.(13). Now differentiating Eqs.(16), (17) respectively with respect to x and eliminating u_{2x} and v_{2x} by Eqs.(10) and (12), we obtain the following two coupled equations for $u_1 := u$ and $v_1 = v$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{3}u_{xt} &= \frac{1}{4}u_{yy} + (uu_x)_x + \frac{1}{2}v_x u_{xy} - \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}v_y, \\ \frac{1}{3}v_{xt} &= \frac{1}{4}v_{yy} + uv_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}v_x v_{xy} - \frac{1}{2}v_{xx}v_y.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Eq.(18) is the so called *Manakov-Santini equation* [1, 2, 3]. The Lax pair for this equation is defined by linear equations (8,9) and (14,15). Notice that for $v = 0$ reduction, the system reduces to the dKP equation

$$\frac{1}{3}u_{xt} = \frac{1}{4}u_{yy} + (uu_x)_x. \tag{19}$$

Respectively, $u = 0$ reduction gives an equation [4] (see also [5, 6, 7])

$$\frac{1}{3}v_{xt} = \frac{1}{4}v_{yy} + \frac{1}{2}v_x v_{xy} - \frac{1}{2}v_{xx}v_y. \tag{20}$$

Proposition 2.2 *Equation (1) can be written in Hamilton-Jacobi type equation*

$$\left.\frac{\partial p(\mathcal{L})}{\partial t_n}\right|_{\mathcal{L} \text{ fixed}} = A_n(p(\mathcal{L}))\left.\frac{\partial p(\mathcal{L})}{\partial x}\right|_{\mathcal{L} \text{ fixed}} + B_n(p(\mathcal{L})), \tag{21}$$

where $A_n(p) = (J_0^{-1}\partial\mathcal{L}^n/\partial p)_+$ *and* $B_n(p) = (J_0^{-1}\partial\mathcal{L}^n/\partial x)_+$.

Proof. By taking into account the partial derivatives with respect to t_n for fixed p or $\mathcal{L}$, it is easy to show that

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t_n} = 0 = \left.\frac{\partial p(\mathcal{L})}{\partial t_n}\right|_{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{\partial p(\mathcal{L})}{\partial \mathcal{L}}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_n},$$

or

$$\left.\frac{\partial p(\mathcal{L})}{\partial t_n}\right|_{\mathcal{L}} = -\frac{\partial p(\mathcal{L})}{\partial \mathcal{L}}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_n}. \tag{22}$$

Using (1), and (22) with $n = 1$, we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial p(\mathcal{L})}{\partial t_n}\right|_{\mathcal{L} \text{ fixed}} = -\frac{\partial p(\mathcal{L})}{\partial \mathcal{L}}\left(A_n(p)\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} - B_n(p)\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p}\right) = A_n(p(\mathcal{L}))\left.\frac{\partial p(\mathcal{L})}{\partial x}\right|_{\mathcal{L} \text{ fixed}} + B_n(p(\mathcal{L})). \square$$

Proposition 2.3 *The function* $J_0 = \partial_p\mathcal{L}\partial_x\mathcal{M} - \partial_x\mathcal{L}\partial_p\mathcal{M}$ *and its inverse* $G = J_0^{-1}$ *satisfy*

$$\partial_{t_n} J_0 = (A_n J_0)_x - (B_n J_0)_p, \tag{23}$$
$$\partial_{t_n} G = \langle A_n, G\rangle_x - \langle B_n, G\rangle_p, \tag{24}$$

where $\langle U, V\rangle_i := U(\partial_i V) - (\partial_i U)V$.

Proof. Using the t_n-flows of $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}$ in (1) and the definition of J_0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_n} J_0 &= (\mathcal{L}_p)_{t_n}\mathcal{M}_x + \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_x)_{t_n} - (\mathcal{L}_x)_{t_n}\mathcal{M}_p - \mathcal{L}_x(\mathcal{M}_p)_{t_n}, \\
&= -B_{np}J_0 + A_{nx}J_0 + A_n J_{0x} - B_n J_{0p}, \\
&= (A_n J_0)_x - (B_n J_0)_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, substituting $J_0 = G^{-1}$ into the above we obtain (24). $\square$
As we will see, Proposition 2.3 can provide a crucial way to determine the hierarchy flows.

3 Waterbag-type reduction

Consider the waterbag-type reduction of the generalized dKP hierarchy represented by [8]

$$\mathcal{L} = p + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i \log(p - U_i), \tag{25}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n t_n \mathcal{L}^{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i \log(p - V_i), \tag{26}$$

where ϵ_i and δ_i are assumed to satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i = 0. \tag{27}$$

The ansatz (25,26) is consistent with the dynamics defined by Manakov-Santini hierarchy (1), i.e., the form of ansatz is preserved by the dynamics. Condition (27) guarantees that expansion of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{M}$ at infinity is of the form (3,4). Reduced hierarchy is represented as infinite set of (1+1)-dimensional systems of equations for the functions U_i, V_i, which are obtained by the substitution of ansatz (25,26) to equations of Manakov-Santini hierarchy (1).

Let us consider first flows of reduced hierarchy. For expansion of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{M}$ at infinity from (25,26) we get

$$\mathcal{L} = p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i \frac{U_i^n}{n} \right) p^{-n}, \tag{28}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n t_n \mathcal{L}^{n-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i \frac{V_i^n}{n} \right) p^{-n}. \tag{29}$$

Comparing these expansions with formulae (3,4), we come to the conclusion that $u_n = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i \frac{U_i^n}{n}$. To calculate v_n, we should invert the series (28) to find $p(\mathcal{L})$ that can be done recursively, and substitute $p(\mathcal{L})$ to (29) . For the first coefficients u_n, v_n we get

$$u_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i, \quad u_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i^2,$$

$$v_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i, \quad v_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i^2.$$

Substituting these expressions to relations (5), (6) and using equations (1), we obtain equations of reduced hierarchy. Equations of the flow corresponding to $y = t_2$ read

$$\partial_y U_k = \Big(2U_k + \partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i\Big)\partial_x U_k - 2\partial_x\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i\Big),$$
$$\partial_y V_k = \Big(2V_k + \partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i\Big)\partial_x V_k - 2\partial_x\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i\Big). \tag{30}$$

For the flow corresponding to $t = t_3$ we get

$$\partial_t U_k = \left(3U_k^2 + 3U_k\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i - 6\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i + 3(\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i)^2 + 3\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i \frac{V_i^2}{2}\right)\partial_x U_k -$$
$$\left(3U_k\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i + 3(\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i)(\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i) + 3\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i \frac{U_i^2}{2}\right),$$
$$\partial_t V_k = \left(3V_k^2 + 3V_k\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i - 6\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i + 3(\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i)^2 + 3\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i \frac{V_i^2}{2}\right)\partial_x V_k -$$
$$\left(3V_k\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i + 3(\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i)(\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i) + 3\partial_x \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i \frac{U_i^2}{2}\right). \tag{31}$$

A common solution to the systems (30), (31) gives a solution to Manakov-Santini equation (18) defined as $u = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i U_i$, $v = -\sum_{i=1}^{M} \delta_i V_i$.

4 Diagonal form of reduced hierarchy

For the waterbag reduction (25, 26) one can show that the G function can be expressed in the following form

$$G = J_0^{-1} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N}(p - U_i)\prod_{j=1}^{M}(p - V_j)}{F(U_n, U_{nx}, V_m, V_{mx}; p)}, \qquad n = 1, \ldots, N;\ m = 1, \ldots, M, \tag{32}$$

where the function F in denominator is a polynomial of p with degree $N+M$. In general, F can also be factorized into $\prod_{k=1}^{N+M}(p - W_k)$, for which $W_k = W_k(U_n, U_{nx}, V_m, V_{mx})$ are roots of J_0. We like to mention here that the derivatives U_{nx}, V_{mx} can be inversely expressed as function of the form

$$U_{nx} = f_n(U_i, V_j, W_k), \quad V_{mx} = g_m(U_i, V_j, W_k). \tag{33}$$

Therefore, we have

$$J_0 = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N+M}(p - W_k)}{\prod_{i=1}^{N}(p - U_i)\prod_{j=1}^{M}(p - V_j)}, \qquad n = 1, \ldots, N;\ m = 1, \ldots, M. \tag{34}$$

As the result, the evaluation of G at U_i or V_i, i.e., $G(p=U_i)=0$ or $G(p=V_i)=0$ shows that Eq.(24) can be written into the following evolution equations of U_i, V_i:

$$\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial t_n} = A_n(p=U_i)\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x} + B_n(p=U_i), \tag{35}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial t_n} = A_n(p=V_i)\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial x} + B_n(p=V_i). \tag{36}$$

Similarly, Eq.(23) with $J_0(p=W_i)=0$ gives rise

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial t_n} = A_n(p=W_i)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial x} + B_n(p=W_i). \tag{37}$$

In summary, combining (35), (36), (37) and replacing those U_{nx}'s and V_{mx}'s in A_n, B_n with the transformations (33), we obtain the *non-homogeneous Riemann invariant form* as

$$\partial_{t_n} R_i = A_n(p=R_i)R_{ix} + B_n(p=R_i), \quad i=1,\ldots,2N+2M, \tag{38}$$

for which $(R_1,\ldots,R_{2N+2M}) = (U_1,\ldots,U_N;V_1,\ldots,V_M;W_1,\ldots,W_{N+M})$.

Some linearly degenerate non-homogeneous Riemann invariants forms, associated with commuting quadratic Hamiltonians and the Killing vector fields of the given metric, were investigated in [9, 10]. However, in our case equation (38) is obviously not linearly degenerate.

Remark. For the type of non-homogeneous Riemann invariant form

$$\partial_{t_n} R^i = \Lambda_n^i(\mathbf{R})R_x^i + Q_n^i(\mathbf{R}), \tag{39}$$

the requirements of the commutativity are equivalent to the following restrictions on their characteristic speeds and non-homogeneous terms (see appendix A)

$$\frac{\partial_j \Lambda_n^i}{\Lambda_n^j - \Lambda_n^i} = \frac{\partial_j \Lambda_m^i}{\Lambda_m^j - \Lambda_m^i}, \quad \frac{\partial_j Q_n^i}{Q_n^j} = \frac{\partial_j Q_m^i}{Q_m^j}, \quad \frac{Q_n^j}{\Lambda_n^j - \Lambda_n^i} = \frac{Q_m^j}{\Lambda_m^j - \Lambda_m^i}, \quad i \neq j, \quad n \neq m.$$

where $\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial R^i$.

Example 1. $(N,M)=(1,1)$ reduction. In this case,

$$\mathcal{L} = p + \log(1-U/p),$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n t_n \mathcal{L}^{n-1} + \log(1-V/p).$$

Comparing to the expansion of (3,4) we have $u_n = -U^n/n$ for $n \geq 1$ and $v_1 = -V, v_2 = -V^2/2, v_3 = UV - V^3/3$, etc. These transformations allow us to get A_n, B_n (by Eqs.(5), (6)) which correspond to the reduced system. The G function is given by

$$G = \frac{p(p-U)(p-V)}{\prod_{i=1}^{3}(p-W_i)}, \tag{40}$$

where W_i satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} W_i = U + V + V_x, \quad \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ (i>j)}}^{3} W_i W_j = U + UV + UV_x, \quad \prod_{i=1}^{3} W_i = UV + UV_x - U_x V. \tag{41}$$

Notice that (40) is not coincident with that in (32), there is one more root of $p = 0$ to be considered. By (24), it turns out that the evaluation of $p = 0$ gives an additional condition, namely

$$UVB_n(p = 0) = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \tag{42}$$

There are two simple cases: (i) $V = 0, U \neq 0$, (ii) $V \neq 0, U = 0$. One can easily deduce considering t_2-flow of (38) that case (i) is a trivial reduction. For the case (ii), we have the fact that $B_n(U = 0) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, and Eq.(41) will reveal us the only one relation: $V_x = W - V$. To this end, system (38) reduces to the type of homogeneous one in (39) with $Q_n^i = 0$, namely

$$\partial_{t_n} R^i = \Lambda_n^i(\mathbf{R}) \partial_x R^i, \tag{43}$$

where $\mathbf{R} = (R^1, R^2) = (V, W)$ and the characteristic speeds $\Lambda_n^i = A_n(p = R^i, U = 0)$. For instance, for $t_2 = y$ flow, we have $A_2(U = 0) = 2p + 2V_x = 2p + 2(W - V)$, then Eq.(43) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} V \\ W \end{pmatrix}_y = \begin{pmatrix} 2W & 0 \\ 0 & 4W - 2V \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V \\ W \end{pmatrix}_x. \tag{44}$$

For $t_3 = t$ flow, we derive $A_3(U = 0) = 3p^2 + 3(W - V)p + 3(W - V)^2 + 3V(W - V)$, thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} V \\ W \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} 3W^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 9W^2 - 6VW \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V \\ W \end{pmatrix}_x. \tag{45}$$

From the two nontrivial flows (44), (45), we readily obtain the following set of hodograph equation

$$\begin{aligned} x + 2Wy + 3W^2 t &= \hat{F}(V, W), \\ x + (4W - 2V)y + (9W^2 - 6VW)t &= \hat{G}(V, W), \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where $\hat{F}$ and $\hat{G}$ satisfy the linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} (W - V)\hat{G}_V &= \hat{F} - \hat{G}, \\ (W - V)\hat{F}_W &= \hat{G} - \hat{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing these two equations for $V \neq W$ we get $\hat{G}_V = -\hat{F}_W$. It follows that there exists a function ϕ such that $\hat{F} = \phi_V, \hat{G} = -\phi_W$, whence ϕ satisfies the defining equation

$$(V - W)\phi_{VW} = \phi_V + \phi_W. \tag{47}$$

Eq. (47) has a general solution of the form

$$\phi = (V-W)\left(f(W) + \int \frac{g(V)}{(V-W)^2}\,dV\right),$$

where $f(W)$ and $g(V)$ are arbitrary functions of W and V, respectively. Choosing, for example $f(W) = W^3$, $g(V) = \text{Const.}$, then we have $\hat{F} = W^3$ and $\hat{G} = -3VW^2 + 4W^3$. Substituting back into the hodograph equation (46) we solve

$$\begin{aligned} V = W &= \frac{1}{6}h^{-1/3}(24y + 36t^2 + 6th^{1/3} + h^{2/3}), \\ h &= 216yt + 108x + 216t^3 + 12\sqrt{-96y^3 - 108y^2t^2 + 324ytx + 81x^2 + 324xt^3}, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the t_2- and t_3-flows (44), (45). However, $V = W$ contradicts to the relation $W = V + V_x$ and V does not satisfy equation (20). Actually, from equation (47) we can see that when $V = W$ one can get $\hat{F} = \hat{G}$. Then we obtain all the solutions will satisfy $V = W$. Consequently, there is no (1,1)- reduction. Similar considerations can show that there are no (1,2)- and (2,1)- reductions, either.

Example 2. $(N, M) = (2, 2)$ reduction. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= p + \epsilon_1 \log\frac{p - U_1}{p - U_2}, \\ \mathcal{M} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n t_n \mathcal{L}^{n-1} + \delta_1 \log\frac{p - V_1}{p - V_2}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we set $\epsilon_1 = \delta_1 = 1$. Comparing to the expansion of (3,4), we have $u_n = (U_2^n - U_1^n)/n$ for $n \geq 1$ and $v_1 = V_2 - V_1, v_2 = (V_2^2 - V_1^2)/2, v_3 = u_1v_1 + (V_2^3 - V_1^3)/3, \ldots$. Now we expand the hierarchy flow of U_i, V_i and W_i up to $t_2 = y, t_3 = t$. From (32) with $(N, M) = (2, 2)$ we have

$$G = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{2}(p - U_i)\prod_{j=1}^{2}(p - V_j)}{\prod_{k=1}^{4}(p - W_k)}.$$

where W_i satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i = U_1 + U_2 + V_1 + V_2 + V_{1x} - V_{2x}, \tag{48}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ (i>j)}}^{4} W_iW_j &= U_1 - U_2 + U_1U_2 + V_1V_2 + V_{1x}V_2 - V_1V_{2x} \\ &\quad + (U_1 + U_2)(V_1 + V_2 + V_{1x} - V_{2x}), \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i^{-1}\prod_{j=1}^{4} W_j &= (U_1 + U_2)(V_1V_2 + V_{1x}V_2 - V_1V_{2x}) + (U_{2x} - U_{1x})(V_1 - V_2) \\ &\quad + (U_1 - U_2 + U_1U_2)(V_1 + V_2 + V_{1x} - V_{2x}), \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{4} W_i &= (U_1 - U_2 + U_1U_2)(V_1V_2 + V_{1x}V_2 - V_1V_{2x}) \\ &\quad - (V_1 - V_2)(U_{1x}U_2 - U_1U_{2x}), \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

from which, one can substitute into A_n, B_n to eliminate U_{ix}, V_{ix}, etc. For $n = 2$, using (48), (50) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(p) &= 2p + 2(V_{1x} - V_{2x}) = 2p + 2\Big(- U_1 - U_2 - V_1 - V_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i\Big), \\ &= 2(p - R_1 - R_2 - R_3 - R_4 + R_5 + R_6 + R_7 + R_8), \end{aligned}$$

and the non-homogeneous term

$$\begin{aligned} & B_2(p) = 2(U_{2x} - U_{1x}), \\ &= \frac{2}{V_1 - V_2}\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{4} W_j + (U_1 + U_2)\Big(U_1 - U_2 + U_1U_2 - \sum_{i>j}^{4} W_iW_j\Big) \\ &\quad + \Big(U_1 + U_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i\Big)\Big(U_1 - U_2 + U_1U_2 - (U_1 + U_2)^2\Big)\Big], \\ &= \frac{2}{R_3 - R_4}\Big[(R_1 + R_2 - R_5 - R_6 - R_7 - R_8)(R_1 - R_2 - R_1R_2 - R_1^2 - R_2^2) \\ &\quad + (R_1 + R_2)(R_1 - R_2 + R_1R_2 - R_5R_6 - R_5R_7 - R_5R_8 - R_6R_7 - R_6R_8 - R_7R_8) \\ &\quad + R_5R_6R_7 + R_6R_7R_8 + R_7R_8R_5 + R_8R_5R_6\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the $t_2 = y$ flow in (38) is now read

$$\partial_y R_i = 2(R_i - R_1 - R_2 - R_3 - R_4 + R_5 + R_6 + R_7 + R_8)R_{ix} + B_2. \qquad (52)$$

For $n = 3$, Eq.(38) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial R_i}{\partial t} &= A_3(p = R_i)R_{ix} + B_3(p = R_i), \\ &= \Big[3p^2 + 3(V_{1x} - V_{2x})p + 6(U_2 - U_1) + 3(V_{1x} - V_{2x})^2 + \frac{3}{2}(V_1^2 - V_2^2)_x\Big]_{p=R_i} R_{ix} \\ &\quad + \Big[3(U_{2x} - U_{1x})p - 3(U_{2x} - U_{1x})(V_{2x} - V_{1x}) + \frac{3}{2}(U_2^2 - U_1^2)_x\Big]_{p=R_i} \\ &= \Big[3R_i^2 + 3R_i(V_{1x} - V_{2x}) + 6(U_2 - U_1) + 3(V_{1x} - V_{2x})^2 + \frac{3}{2}(V_1^2 - V_2^2)_x\Big] R_{ix} \\ &\quad + 3R_i(U_{2x} - U_{1x}) - 3(U_{2x} - U_{1x})(V_{2x} - V_{1x}) + 3(U_2U_{2x} - U_1U_{1x}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Eqs.(48)–(51) we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial R_i}{\partial t} &= 3R_{ix}\Big[U_2 - U_1 + R_i\Big(R_i - U_1 - U_2 - V_1 - V_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i\Big) \\ &\quad + U_1U_2 - V_1V_2 - V_1^2 - V_2^2 - \sum_{i>j}^{4} W_iW_j + \Big(U_1 + U_2 + V_1 + V_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i\Big)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+(U_1+U_2+V_1+V_2)\Big(-U_1-U_2+\sum_{i=1}^{4}W_i\Big)\Big]\\
&+\frac{3R_i}{V_1-V_2}\Big[(U_1+U_2)\Big(U_1-U_2+U_1U_2-\sum_{i>j}^{4}W_iW_j\Big)+\sum_{i=1}^{4}W_i^{-1}\prod_{j=1}^{4}W_j\\
&+\Big(U_1+U_2-\sum_{i=1}^{4}W_i\Big)\Big(U_1-U_2+U_1U_2-(U_1+U_2)^2\Big)\Big]+\\
&+3\Big(-U_1-U_2-V_1-V_2+\sum_{i=1}^{4}W_i\Big)\times\\
&\times\frac{1}{V_1-V_2}\Big(\Big(U_1+U_2-\sum_{i=1}^{4}W_i\Big)\Big(U_1-U_2+U_1U_2-(U_1+U_2)^2\Big)\\
&+(U_1+U_2)\Big(U_1-U_2+U_1U_2-\sum_{i>j}W_iW_j\Big)+\sum_{i-1}^{4}W_i^{-1}\prod_{j=1}^{4}W_j\Big)\\
&+\frac{3}{V_1-V_2}\Big((U_1+U_2)\sum_{i-1}^{4}W_i^{-1}\prod_{j=1}^{4}W_j+(U_1+U_2)^2\Big(U_1-U_2+U_1U_2-\sum_{i>j}W_iW_j\Big)\\
&-\prod_{i=1}^{4}W_i+(U_1+U_2)\Big(U_1+U_2-\sum_{i=1}^{4}W_i\Big)\Big(U_1-U_2+U_1U_2-(U_1+U_2)^2\Big)\\
&+(U_1-U_2+U_1U_2)\Big(\sum_{i>j}^{4}W_iW_j-(U_1-U_2)-U_1U_2+(U_1+U_2)^2-(U_1+U_2)\sum_{i=1}^{4}W_i\Big)\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Expressing in terms of $R_i, i=1,\ldots,8$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial R_i}{\partial t} &= 3R_{ix}\Big[R_2-R_1+R_i(R_i-R_1-R_2-R_3-R_4+R_5+R_6+R_7+R_8)\\
&+R_1R_2+R_3R_4+R_5R_6+R_5R_7+R_5R_8+R_6R_7+R_6R_8+R_7R_8\\
&+R_1R_3+R_1R_4-R_1R_5-R_1R_6-R_1R_7-R_1R_8+R_2R_3+R_2R_4\\
&-R_2R_5-R_2R_6-R_2R_7-R_2R_8-R_3R_5-R_3R_6-R_3R_7-R_3R_8\\
&-R_4R_5-R_4R_6-R_4R_7-R_4R_8+R_5^2+R_6^2+R_7^2+R_8^2\Big]\\
&+\frac{3R_i}{R_3-R_4}\Big(2R_1^2-2R_2^2-R_1R_5-R_1R_6-R_1R_7-R_1R_8+R_2R_5+R_2R_6\\
&+R_2R_7+R_2R_8-R_1^3-R_2^3-R_1^2R_2+R_1^2R_5+R_1^2R_6+R_1^2R_7+R_1^2R_8\\
&-R_1R_2^2+R_2^2R_5+R_2^2R_6+R_2^2R_7+R_2^2R_8+R_1R_2R_5+R_1R_2R_6\\
&+R_1R_2R_7+R_1R_2R_8-R_1R_5R_6-R_1R_5R_7-R_1R_5R_8-R_1R_6R_7\\
&-R_1R_6R_8-R_1R_7R_8-R_2R_5R_6-R_2R_5R_7-R_2R_5R_8-R_2R_6R_7\\
&-R_2R_6R_8-R_2R_7R_8+R_5R_6R_7+R_6R_7R_8+R_7R_8R_5+R_8R_5R_6\Big)+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{3}{R_3-R_4}\Big(-R_1^2-R_2^2+2R_1R_2+R_1^3-R_2^3-R_1^2R_2+R_1R_2^2-2R_1^2R_3+2R_2^2R_3\\
&-2R_1^2R_4+2R_2^2R_4+R_1^2R_5-R_2^2R_5+R_1^2R_6-R_2^2R_6+R_1^2R_7-R_2^2R_7\\
&+R_1^2R_8-R_2^2R_8-R_1R_5^2+R_2R_5^2+R_1R_6^2-R_2R_6^2+R_1R_7^2-R_2R_7^2\\
&+R_1R_8^2-R_2R_8^2+R_1^3R_2+R_1^3R_3+R_1^3R_4-R_1^3R_5-R_1^3R_6-R_1^3R_7-R_1^3R_8\\
&+R_1R_2^3+R_2^3R_3+R_2^3R_4-R_2^3R_5-R_2^3R_6-R_2^3R_7-R_2^3R_8\\
&+R_1^2R_2^2+R_1^2R_5^2+R_2^2R_5^2-R_1^2R_6^2-R_2^2R_6^2-R_1^2R_7^2-R_2^2R_7^2-R_1^2R_8^2-R_2^2R_8^2\\
&+R_1R_5R_6+R_1R_5R_7+R_1R_5R_8+3R_1R_6R_7+3R_1R_6R_8+3R_1R_7R_8\\
&-R_2R_5R_6-R_2R_5R_7-R_2R_5R_8-3R_2R_6R_7-3R_2R_6R_8-3R_2R_7R_8\\
&+R_3R_5R_1-R_3R_5R_2-R_3R_6R_1+R_3R_6R_2-R_3R_7R_1+R_3R_7R_2\\
&-R_3R_8R_1+R_3R_8R_2+R_4R_5R_1-R_4R_5R_2-R_4R_6R_1+R_4R_6R_2\\
&-R_4R_7R_1+R_4R_7R_2-R_4R_8R_1+R_4R_8R_2-2R_5R_1^2R_2-2R_6R_1^2R_2\\
&-2R_7R_1^2R_2-2R_1R_6R_2^2-2R_1R_7R_2^2-2R_1R_8R_2^2-2R_8R_1^2R_2-2R_1^2R_6R_7\\
&-2R_1^2R_6R_8-2R_1^2R_7R_8-2R_2^2R_6R_7-2R_2^2R_6R_8-2R_2^2R_7R_8-2R_1R_5R_2^2\\
&+R_5^2R_6R_7+R_7R_8R_5^2+R_8R_5^2R_6+R_5^2R_1R_2-R_1R_5^2R_6-R_1R_5^2R_7-R_1R_5^2R_8\\
&-R_2R_5^2R_6-R_2R_5^2R_7-R_2R_5^2R_8+R_5R_6^2R_7+R_6^2R_7R_8+R_8R_5R_6^2-R_6^2R_1R_2\\
&-R_1R_5R_6^2-R_1R_6^2R_7-R_1R_6^2R_8-R_2R_5R_6^2-R_2R_6^2R_7-R_2R_6^2R_8+R_5R_6R_7^2\\
&+R_6R_7^2R_8+R_7^2R_8R_5-R_7^2R_1R_2-R_1R_5R_7^2-R_1R_6R_7^2-R_1R_7^2R_8-R_2R_5R_7^2\\
&-R_2R_6R_7^2-R_2R_7^2R_8+R_6R_7R_8^2+R_7R_8^2R_5+R_8^2R_5R_6-R_8^2R_1R_2-R_1R_5R_8^2\\
&-R_1R_6R_8^2-R_1R_7R_8^2-R_2R_5R_8^2-R_2R_6R_8^2-R_2R_7R_8^2+R_3R_1^2R_2+R_3R_1R_2^2\\
&-R_3R_5R_1^2-R_3R_5R_2^2+R_3R_6R_1^2+R_3R_6R_2^2+R_3R_7R_1^2+R_3R_7R_2^2+R_3R_8R_1^2\\
&+R_3R_8R_2^2+R_4R_1^2R_2+R_4R_1R_2^2-R_4R_5R_1^2-R_4R_5R_2^2+R_4R_6R_1^2+R_4R_6R_2^2\\
&+R_4R_7R_1^2+R_4R_7R_2^2+R_4R_8R_1^2+R_4R_8R_2^2-3R_1R_5R_6R_7-3R_1R_6R_7R_8\\
&-3R_1R_5R_7R_8-3R_1R_5R_6R_8-3R_2R_5R_6R_7-3R_2R_6R_7R_8+3R_5R_6R_7R_8\\
&-3R_2R_7R_8R_5-3R_2R_8R_5R_6+R_1R_2R_5R_6+R_1R_2R_5R_7+R_1R_2R_5R_8\\
&-R_1R_2R_6R_7-R_1R_2R_6R_8-R_1R_2R_7R_8-R_3R_5R_6R_7-R_3R_6R_7R_8\\
&-R_3R_7R_8R_5-R_3R_8R_5R_6-R_3R_5R_1R_2+R_3R_6R_1R_2+R_3R_7R_1R_2\\
&+R_3R_8R_1R_2+R_3R_1R_5R_6+R_3R_1R_5R_7+R_3R_1R_5R_8+R_3R_1R_6R_7\\
&+R_3R_1R_6R_8+R_3R_1R_7R_8+R_3R_2R_5R_6+R_3R_2R_5R_7+R_3R_2R_5R_8\\
&+R_3R_2R_6R_7+R_3R_2R_6R_8+R_3R_2R_7R_8-R_4R_5R_6R_7-R_4R_6R_7R_8\\
&-R_4R_7R_8R_5-R_4R_8R_5R_6-R_4R_5R_1R_2+R_4R_6R_1R_2+R_4R_7R_1R_2\\
&+R_4R_8R_1R_2+R_4R_1R_5R_6+R_4R_1R_5R_7+R_4R_1R_5R_8+R_4R_1R_6R_7\\
&+R_4R_1R_6R_8+R_4R_1R_7R_8+R_4R_2R_5R_6+R_4R_2R_5R_7+R_4R_2R_5R_8\\
&+R_4R_2R_6R_7+R_4R_2R_6R_8+R_4R_2R_7R_8\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

5 Concluding Remarks

In this article, we investigate the Manakov-Santini equation starting from Lax-Sato formulation of associated hierarchy and obtain equations (23), (24), which generalize the results of [6]. From these, one can introduce new coordinates (32) such that the non-hydrodynamic evolution (30), (31) of waterbag reduction transforms to non-homogeneous Riemann invariants form of hydrodynamic type (38). The equation (38) is not linearly degenerate. Hence the generalization of [9, 10] from linearly degenerate case to the general one could be interesting. Also, the solution structures of (38) having infinite symmetries should be investigated. These issues will be published elsewhere.

Appendix

A Commutability properties of the non-homogeneous diagonal system

We start from the commutability of (39) by $\partial_m\partial_n R^i = \partial_n\partial_m R^i$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_m\partial_n R^i \\
&= \partial_m(\Lambda^i_n R^i_x) + \partial_m Q^i_n, \\
&= \sum_j (\partial_j\Lambda^i_n)(\partial_m R^j)R^i_x + \Lambda^i_n\partial_x(\partial_m R^i) + \sum_j(\partial_j Q^i_n)(\partial_m R^j), \\
&= \sum_j (\partial_j\Lambda^i_n)(\Lambda^j_m R^j_x + Q^j_m)R^i_x + \Lambda^i_n\partial_x(\Lambda^i_m R^i_x + Q^i_m) + \sum_j(\partial_j Q^i_n)(\Lambda^j_m R^j_x + Q^j_m), \\
&= \sum_j (\partial_j\Lambda^i_n)(\Lambda^j_m R^j_x + Q^j_m)R^i_x + \Lambda^i_n\Big(\sum_j(\partial_j\Lambda^i_m)R^j_x R^i_x + \Lambda^i_m R^i_{xx} + \sum_j(\partial_j Q^i_m)R^j_x\Big) \\
&\quad + \sum_j(\partial_j Q^i_n)(\Lambda^j_m R^j_x + Q^j_m), \\
&= \sum_j\Big[(\partial_j\Lambda^i_n)\Lambda^j_m + (\partial_j\Lambda^i_m)\Lambda^i_n\Big]R^j_x R^i_x + \sum_j(\partial_j\Lambda^i_n)Q^j_m R^i_x + \Lambda^i_n\Lambda^i_m R^i_{xx} \\
&\quad + \sum_j\Big[(\partial_j Q^i_m)\Lambda^i_n + (\partial_j Q^i_n)\Lambda^j_m\Big]R^j_x + \sum_j(\partial_j Q^i_n)Q^j_m.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_n\partial_m R^i &= \partial_n(\Lambda^i_m R^i_x) + \partial_n Q^i_m, \\
&= \sum_j\Big[(\partial_j\Lambda^i_m)\Lambda^j_n + (\partial_j\Lambda^i_n)\Lambda^i_m\Big]R^j_x R^i_x + \sum_j(\partial_j\Lambda^i_m)Q^j_n R^i_x + \Lambda^i_m\Lambda^i_n R^i_{xx}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\sum_j \Big[(\partial_j Q_n^i)\Lambda_m^i + (\partial_j Q_m^i)\Lambda_n^j\Big] R_x^j + \sum_j (\partial_j Q_m^i) Q_n^j.$$

Then, $\partial_m \partial_n R^i = \partial_n \partial_m R^i$ provide the following compatibility conditions:

(i) Taking the coefficients of $R_x^j R_x^i$ we have

$$(\partial_j \Lambda_n^i)\Lambda_m^j + (\partial_j \Lambda_m^i)\Lambda_n^i = (\partial_j \Lambda_m^i)\Lambda_n^j + (\partial_j \Lambda_n^i)\Lambda_m^i,$$

which implies

$$\frac{\partial_j \Lambda_n^i}{\Lambda_n^j - \Lambda_n^i} = \frac{\partial_j \Lambda_m^i}{\Lambda_m^j - \Lambda_m^i}. \tag{A.1}$$

(ii) Taking the coefficients of R_x^i we have

$$(\partial_j \Lambda_n^i) Q_m^j = (\partial_j \Lambda_m^i) Q_n^j.$$

Combining (A.1), the above equation can be written as

$$\frac{Q_n^j}{\Lambda_n^j - \Lambda_n^i} = \frac{Q_m^j}{\Lambda_m^j - \Lambda_m^i}. \tag{A.2}$$

(iii) Taking the coefficients of R_x^j we get

$$\frac{\partial_j Q_n^i}{\Lambda_n^j - \Lambda_n^i} = \frac{\partial_j Q_m^i}{\Lambda_m^j - \Lambda_m^i}. \tag{A.3}$$

(iv) The zero-th term of $\partial_m \partial_n R^i = \partial_n \partial_m R^i$ give us

$$\frac{\partial_j Q_n^i}{Q_n^j} = \frac{\partial_j Q_m^i}{Q_m^j}. \tag{A.4}$$

Notice that according to (A.2), equation (A.4) is equivalent to (A.3). To summarize, we have three compatibility conditions (A.1), (A.2) and (A.4).

Acknowledgments

The first author (LVB) is grateful to National Defense University (Taoyuan, Taiwan), where a part of this work was done, for hospitality. This research was particularly supported by the Russian-Taiwanese grant 95WFE0300007 (RFBR grant 06-01-89507) and NSC-95-2923-M-606-001-MY3. LVB was also supported in part by RFBR grant 07-01-00446.

References

[1] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini, The Cauchy problem on the plane for the dispersionless Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, JETP Lett. **83** (2006) 462-466.

[2] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini, A hierarchy of integrable PDEs in 2+1 dimensions associated with 2-dimensional vector fields, Theor. Math. Phys. **152** (2007) 1004–1011.

[3] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini, On the solutions of the dKP equation: the nonlinear, Riemann-Hilbert problem, longtime behaviour, implicit solutions and wave breaking, J Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41** (2008) 055204.

[4] M. V. Pavlov, Integrable hydrodynamic chains, J. Math. Phys. **44**(9) (2003) 4134–4156.

[5] M. Dunajski, A class of Einstein-Weyl spaces associated to an integrable system of hydrodynamic type, J. Geom. Phys. **51** (2004) 126–137.

[6] L. Martínez Alonso and A. B. Shabat, Energy-dependent potentials revisited: a universal hierarchy of hydrodynamic type, Phys. Lett. A **300** (2002) 58–64.

[7] L. Martínez Alonso and A. B. Shabat, Hydrodynamic reductions and solutions of a universal hierarchy, Theor. Math. Phys. **140** (2004) 1073–1085.

[8] L. V. Bogdanov, V. S. Dryuma and S. V. Manakov, On the dressing method for Dunajski anti-self-duality equation, nlin/0612046 (2006).

[9] A.P. Fordy, Systems of hydrodynamic type from Poisson commuting Hamiltonians, "Integrability: The Seiberg-Witten and Whitham Equations", Edited by H.W.Braden and I.M. Krichever, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 2000

[10] E.V.Ferapontov and A.P. Fordy, Non-Homogeneous systems of hydrodynamic type, related to quadratic Hamiltonians with electromagnetic terms, Physica D, 108, p.350-364(1997)

[11] L. V. Bogdanov, V. S. Dryuma and S. V. Manakov, Dunajski generalization of the second heavenly equation: dressing method and the hierarchy, J Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40** (2007) 14383–14393.